



# Reading and Critiquing Research Articles



# Types of Research Reports

- **Presentations at professional conferences**
  - ✓ Oral reports
  - ✓ Poster sessions
- **Journal articles**
  - ✓ Papers often subjected to peer review
  - ✓ Peer reviews are often blind (reviewers are not told names of authors and vice versa)



# Content of Research Journal Articles

- **IMRAD Format:**
  - ✓ Title and abstract
    - Introduction
    - Method
    - Results
    - And Discussion
- References



# Abstract

- Brief description of major features of a study at the beginning of a journal article
  - ✓ Old style—single paragraph, about 100 to 150 words
  - ✓ New style—more detailed, with specific headings  
**(structured: Introduction, method, results, conclusions)**



# Introduction

- Description of:
  - ✓ Central phenomena, concepts, or variables
  - ✓ Study purpose, research questions, or hypotheses
  - ✓ Review of literature
  - ✓ Theoretical/conceptual framework
  - ✓ Study significance, need for study



# Method Section

## Quantitative studies:

- ✓ Research design
- ✓ Sampling plan
- ✓ Methods of measuring variables and collecting data
- ✓ Study procedures, including procedures to protect participants
- ✓ Analytic methods and procedures



# Method Section (cont.)

## Qualitative studies (cont.):

- ✓ Research tradition
- ✓ Sampling approach and description of study participants
- ✓ Setting and context
- ✓ Data collection approaches
- ✓ Study procedures
- ✓ Analytic strategies



# Question

- Is the following statement True or False?
- The review of literature is typically found in the method section of the research report.





# Answer

- False
- Rationale: The review of literature is usually found in the introduction of the research report.



# Results Section

- **Findings:**

- ✓ Quantitative studies:

- Descriptive information (e.g., description of subjects)
- Results of statistical analyses
  - ✓ Names of **statistical tests**
  - ✓ Value of calculated statistics
- Level of statistical significance



# Results Section (cont.)

- **Findings (cont.):**

- ✓ Quantitative studies (cont.):

- **Level of statistical significance**—index of how probable it is that the findings are reliable
- For example,  $p < 0.05$ : Probability is less than 5 in 100 that the findings are false (probability is 95 in 100 that the findings are “real” and replicable)



## Results Section (cont.)

- **Findings (cont.):**
  - ✓ Qualitative studies (cont.):
    - Findings often organized according to major themes, processes, or categories identified in the analysis
    - Almost always includes **raw data**—quotes directly from study participants



# Discussion

- Interpretation of the results
- Implications for nursing practice and for further research
- Study limitations



# Question

- Is the following statement True or False?
- A researcher would describe the limitations of the study in the results section of the report.



# Answer

- False
- Rationale: The study limitations are typically described in the discussion section of the report.



# Style of Research Journal Articles

- Often difficult to glean the “story” being told, because of:
  - ✓ Compactness: page constraints
  - ✓ Jargon
  - ✓ Objectivity, impersonality
  - ✓ Statistical information
    - Last two especially prominent in quantitative research articles





# Tips on Reading Research Articles

- Read regularly, get used to style.
- Read copied articles—underline, highlight, write notes
- Read slowly.
- Read **actively**.
- Look up technical terms in glossary.
- Don't be intimidated by statistics—grasp gist of story.
- “Translate” articles or abstracts.



# Critiquing Research Reports

- Careful and objective appraisals of the strengths and limitations of a study
- Critiques of individual studies can be done for a variety of reasons (e.g., for a student assignment, for making decisions about whether or not to publish a manuscript, for EBP purposes)
- Vary in scope, length, and form, depending on purpose



## Critiquing Research Reports (cont.)

- Can be comprehensive, appraising the substantive, methodologic, theoretical, ethical, interpretive, and stylistic aspects of both the study and the report (e.g., students can critique a single study to demonstrate their research skills.)
- Critiques to inform EBP focus on whether evidence is accurate, believable, and clinically relevant.



# Research Critiques

- Critiques can be facilitated by:
  - ✓ Using a formal protocol or critiquing guideline: although a one-size-fits-all guideline does not typically work perfectly
  - ✓ Reviewing a model of a good critique



# Question

- Is the following statement True or False?
- Research critiques are done to evaluate the strengths and limitations of a study.



# Answer

- True
- Rationale: Although there are a wide variety of reasons for critiquing research, research critiques provide careful and objective appraisals of the strengths and limitations of a study.



# Issues of Reliability and Validity



# Reliability الدقة


- Reliability = The accuracy and *consistency* in procedures, obtained information, and in reactions of participants
- The ability of a measurement tool to yield consistent results over time or under similar conditions





# Validity الصدق

- Validity = *truth* - Does it measure what it intended to measure?
- The degree to which an instrument measures what it is supposed to measure
- The soundness of the evidence—whether findings are convincing, are well-grounded, and support the desired inferences

- 
- When reliability and validity are achieved, data are free from systematic errors



# Threats to Reliability and Validity

- If measuring device cannot make fine distinctions
- If measuring device cannot capture people/things that differ
- When attempting to measure something irrelevant or unknown to respondent
- Can measuring device really capture the phenomenon?