

Lecture Notes on **Discrete Mathematics**.  
Birzeit University, Palestine, 2016

# Number Theory and Proof Methods

Mustafa Jarrar

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Rational Numbers

4.3 Divisibility

4.4 Quotient-Remainder Theorem



miarrar©2015

1

Watch this lecture  
and download the slides



Course Page: <http://www.jarrar.info/courses/DMath/>  
More Online Courses at: <http://www.jarrar.info>

**Acknowledgement:**

This lecture is based on (but not limited to) to chapter 4 in “Discrete Mathematics with Applications by Susanna S. Epp (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition)”.

2

# Number Theory

## 4.3 Divisibility

In this lecture:

- ➔  Part 1: **What is Divisibility**
- Part 2: Proving Properties of Divisibility
- Part 3: The Unique Factorization Theorem

**Keywords:** Number Theory, Prove, Disapprove, Direct Proofs, divisibility, factorization

3

## What is Divisibility?

### • Definition

If  $n$  and  $d$  are integers and  $d \neq 0$  then

$n$  is **divisible by**  $d$  if, and only if,  $n$  equals  $d$  times some integer.

Instead of “ $n$  is divisible by  $d$ ,” we can say that

$n$  is a **multiple of**  $d$ , or  
 $d$  is a **factor of**  $n$ , or  
 $d$  is a **divisor of**  $n$ , or  
 $d$  **divides**  $n$ .

The notation  $\mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{n}$  is read “ $d$  divides  $n$ .” Symbolically, if  $n$  and  $d$  are integers and  $d \neq 0$ :

$$d \mid n \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ an integer } k \text{ such that } n = dk.$$

**Examples**


- ✓ Is 21 divisible by 3?      ✓ Does 5 divide 40?      ✓ Does  $7 \mid 42$ ?
- ✓ Is 32 a multiple of  $-16$ ?      ✓ Is 6 a factor of 54?      ✓ Is 7 a factor of  $-7$ ?
- ✓ If  $k$  is any integer, does  $k$  divide  $0$ ?

4

# Number Theory

## 4.3 Divisibility

In this lecture:

- Part 1: What is Divisibility;
-   Part 2: **Proving Properties of Divisibility;**
- Part 3: The Unique Factorization Theorem

**Keywords:** Number Theory, Prove, Disapprove, Direct Proofs, divisibility, factorization

5

## Positive Divisor of a Positive Integer

### Theorem 4.3.1 A Positive Divisor of a Positive Integer

For all integers  $a$  and  $b$ , if  $a$  and  $b$  are positive and  $a$  divides  $b$ , then  $a \leq b$ .

**Proof:**

$$b = a.k$$

Thus  $1 \leq k$

$a.1 \leq k . a$       multiply both sides with  $a$ .

Thus  $a \leq k . a = b$

Thus  $a \leq b$

6

## Divisibility of Algebraic Expressions

If  $a$  and  $b$  are integers, is  $3a + 3b$  divisible by 3?

$3a + 3b = 3(a + b)$  and  $a + b$  is an integer because it is a sum of two integers.

If  $k$  and  $m$  are integers, is  $10km$  divisible by 5?

$10km = 5 \cdot (2km)$  and  $2km$  is an integer because it is a product of three integers.

7

## Not divisible

For all integers  $n$  and  $d$ ,  $d \nmid n \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{d}$  is not an integer.

8

## Prime Numbers and Divisibility

**An alternative way to define a prime number is to say that:**

*an integer  $n > 1$  is prime if, and only if, its only positive integer divisors are 1 and itself.*

9

## Transitivity of Divisibility

### Theorem 4.3.3 Transitivity of Divisibility

For all integers  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$ , if  $a$  divides  $b$  and  $b$  divides  $c$ , then  $a$  divides  $c$ .

#### Proof:

**Starting Point:** Suppose  $a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are particular but arbitrarily chosen integers such that  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$ .

**We need to show:**  $a \mid c$ .

since  $a \mid b$ ,  $b = ar$  for some integer  $r$ .

and since  $b \mid c$ ,  $c = bs$  for some integer  $s$ .

Hence,  $c = bs = (ar)s$

But  $(ar)s = a(rs)$  by the associative law

Hence  $c = a(rs)$ .

As  $rs$  is an integer, then  $a \mid c$ .

10

## Divisibility by a Prime

### Theorem 4.3.4 Divisibility by a Prime

Any integer  $n > 1$  is divisible by a prime number.

**Proof:**

Study at home  
Maybe quiz next lecture?!

11

## Counterexamples and Divisibility

### Checking a Proposed Divisibility Property

Is it true or false that for  
**all integers  $a$  and  $b$ , if  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$  then  $a = b$ ?**

**Counterexample:** Let  $a = 2$  and  $b = -2$ . Then  
 $a \mid b$  since  $2 \mid (-2)$  and  $b \mid a$  since  $(-2) \mid 2$ , but  $a \neq b$  since  $2 \neq -2$ .  
Therefore, the proposed divisibility property is false.

12

# Number Theory

## 4.3 Divisibility

In this lecture:

- Part 1: What is Divisibility;
- Part 2: Proving Properties of Divisibility
- Part 3: **The Unique Factorization Theorem**

**Keywords:** Number Theory, Prove, Disapprove, Direct Proofs, divisibility, factorization

13

Important  
Theory

## The Unique Factorization Theorem

By a German mathematician  
(Carl Friedrich Gauss) in  
1801.



14

## The Unique Factorization Theorem

أي رقم أكبر من واحد إما أن يكون عدد أولي أو حاصل ضرب أعداد أولية

*Any integer greater than 1 either is prime or can be written as a product of prime numbers in a way that is unique except,*

$$72 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$$

### Theorem 4.3.5 Unique Factorization of Integers Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Given any integer  $n > 1$ , there exist a positive integer  $k$ , distinct prime numbers  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$ , and positive integers  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  such that

$$n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3} \cdots p_k^{e_k},$$

and any other expression for  $n$  as a product of prime numbers is identical to this except, perhaps, for the order in which the factors are written.

15

## The Standard factored Form

### • Definition

Given any integer  $n > 1$ , the **standard factored form** of  $n$  is an expression of the form

$$n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3} \cdots p_k^{e_k},$$

where  $k$  is a positive integer;  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  are prime numbers;  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k$  are positive integers; and  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$ .

**Example:** Write 3,300 in standard factored form.

$$\begin{aligned} 3,300 &= 100 \cdot 33 \\ &= 4 \cdot 25 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \\ &= 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 11 \\ &= 2^2 \cdot 3^1 \cdot 5^2 \cdot 11^1. \end{aligned}$$

16



### Using Unique Factorization to Solve a Problem

Suppose  $m$  is an integer such that

$$8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot m = 17 \cdot 16 \cdot 15 \cdot 14 \cdot 13 \cdot 12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10$$

Does  $17 \mid m$ ?

#### **Solution:**

Since 17 a prime in the left, it should be also in the right side.  
Since we cannot produce 17 from (8,7,6,5,4,3 or 2) it should be a prime factor of  $m$