



#### FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

#### COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

COMP233 Discrete Mathematics

# CHAPTER 4

Elementary Number Theory and Methods of Proof

### Number Theory

- Direct Proof and Counterexamples
- Rational Numbers
- Divisibility
- Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

## Number Theory

- Direct Proof and Counterexamples
- Rational Numbers
- Divisibility
- Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

- Introduction
- Proving Properties of Divisibility
	- *Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers*
	- *Divisors of 1*
	- *Transitivity of Divisibility*
	- *Divisibility by a Prime*
- Counterexamples and Divisibility
- The Unique Factorization Theorem

### Motivation

■ When you were first introduced to the concept of division in elementary school, you were probably taught that 12 divided by 3 is 4 because if you separate 12 objects into groups of 3, you get 4 groups with nothing left over.



■ The notion of divisibility is the central concept of one of the most beautiful subjects in advanced mathematics: number theory, the study of properties of integers.

#### ■ Introduction

- Proving Properties of Divisibility
	- *Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers*
	- *Divisors of 1*
	- *Transitivity of Divisibility*
	- *Divisibility by a Prime*
- Counterexamples and Divisibility
- The Unique Factorization Theorem

# Definition and Terminology

**■** If *n* and *d* are integers and  $d \neq 0$  then

 $n$  is divisible by  $d$  if, and only if,  $n$  equals  $d$  times some integer.

- Instead of "*n* is divisible by  $d$ ," we can say that
	- $-$  *n* is a multiple of  $d$
	- $-$  d is a factor of  $n$
	- $-$  d is a divisor of  $n$
	- *divides .*
- The notation  $d|n$  is read "d divides n."
- Symbolically, if n and d are integers and  $d \neq 0$ :

 $d \mid n \leftrightarrow \exists$  an integer k such that  $n = dk$ 

#### Examples

- a. Is 21 divisible by 3? *Yes,*  $21 = 3 \cdot 7$ *.*
- b. Does 5 divide 40? *Yes,*  $40 = 5 \cdot 8$ .
- c. Does 7 | 42? *Yes,*  $42 = 7 \cdot 6$ *.*

#### Examples – cont.

- d. Is 32 a multiple of  $-16$ ?  $Yes, 32 = (-16) \cdot (-2)$ .
- e. Is 6 a factor of 54? *Yes,*  $54 = 6 \cdot 9$ .
- f. Is 7 a factor of  $-7$ ?  $Yes, -7 = 7 \cdot (-1)$ .

#### Divisors of Zero

- If  $k$  is any nonzero integer, does  $k$  divide 0?
	- *Yes, because*  $0 = k \cdot 0$ .

### Divisibility of Algebraic Expressions

a. If a and b are integers, is  $3a + 3b$  divisible by 3?

*Yes. By the distributive law of algebra,*  $3a + 3b = 3(a + b)$  and  $a + b$  is an *integer because it is a sum of two integers.* 

b. If k and m are integers, is  $10km$  divisible by 5?

*Yes. By the associative law of algebra,*  $10km = 5 \cdot (2km)$  and  $2km$  is an integer *because it is the product of three integers.*

#### Indivisibility

 $d \mid n \leftrightarrow \exists$  an integer k such that  $n = dk$ 

Since the negation of an existential statement is universal, it follows that  $d$  does not divide *n* (denoted  $d \nmid n$ ) if, and only if,  $\forall$  integers  $k, n \neq dk$ , or, in other words, the quotient  $n/d$  is not an integer.

$$
\forall \text{ integers } n \text{ and } d, \qquad d \nmid n \leftrightarrow \frac{n}{d} \text{ is not an integer}
$$

#### Example

■ Does 4 | 15?

No, 
$$
\frac{15}{4}
$$
 = 3.75, which is not an integer.

### Divisibility and Prime Numbers

■ An alternative way to define a prime number is to say that

An integer  $n > 1$  is prime if, and only if, its only positive integer divisors are 1 and itself.

#### ■ Introduction

- Proving Properties of Divisibility
	- *Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers*
	- *Divisors of 1*
	- *Transitivity of Divisibility*
	- *Divisibility by a Prime*
- Counterexamples and Divisibility
- The Unique Factorization Theorem

## Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers

For all integers a and b, if a and b are positive and a divides b, then  $a \leq b$ .

- **■** Suppose a and b are positive integers and a divides b. We must show that  $a \leq b$ .
- **■** Then there exists an integer k so that  $b = ak$ , and k must be positive because both a and b are positive. It follows that

$$
1\leq k
$$

because every positive integer is greater than or equal to 1.

Multiplying both sides by  $\alpha$  gives

$$
a \leq ka = b
$$

because multiplying both sides of an inequality by a positive number preserves the inequality.

**■** Thus,  $a \leq b$ , which we needed to show.

- Introduction
- Proving Properties of Divisibility
	- *Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers*
	- *Divisors of 1*
	- *Transitivity of Divisibility*
	- *Divisibility by a Prime*
- Counterexamples and Divisibility
- The Unique Factorization Theorem

### Divisors of 1

The only divisors of 1 are 1 and  $-1$ .

- Since  $1 \cdot 1 = 1$  and  $(-1)(-1) = 1$ , both 1 and  $-1$  are divisors of 1.
- Now suppose m is any integer that divides 1. Then there exists an integer n such that  $1 = mn$ .
- **Either both m and n are positive or both m and n are negative.**
- **■** If both m and n are positive, then m is a positive integer divisor of 1. By the theorem we just proved,  $m \leq 1$ , and, since the only positive integer that is less than or equal to 1 is 1 itself, it follows that  $m = 1$ .
- On the other hand, if both m and n are negative, then  $(-m)(-n) = mn = 1$ . In this case  $-m$ is a positive integer divisor of 1, and so, by the same reasoning,  $-m = 1$  and thus  $m = -1$ .
- Therefore, there are only two possibilities: either  $m = 1$  or  $m = -1$ . So the only divisors of 1 are 1 and  $-1$ .

- Introduction
- Proving Properties of Divisibility
	- *Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers*
	- *Divisors of 1*
	- *Transitivity of Divisibility*
	- *Divisibility by a Prime*
- Counterexamples and Divisibility
- The Unique Factorization Theorem

# Transitivity of Divisibility

Prove that for all integers a, b, and c, if  $a|b$  and  $b|c$ , then  $a|c$ .

- **■** Suppose  $a, b$ , and  $c$  are particular but arbitrarily chosen integers such that  $a | b$  and  $b | c$ .
- We need to show that  $a | c$ . In other words, we need to show that

 $c = a \cdot (some integer)$ 

- **But since**  $a \mid b$ **,**  $b = ar$  **for some integer r**
- And since  $b \mid c, c = bs$  for some integer s
- By substitution,

$$
c = (ar)s = a(rs)
$$

- **■** Let  $k = rs$ . Then k is an integer since it is a product of integers, and therefore  $c = ak$  where  $k$  is an integer.
- Thus,  $a$  divides  $c$  by definition of divisibility, and this is what was to be shown.s

- Introduction
- Proving Properties of Divisibility
	- *Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers*
	- *Divisors of 1*
	- *Transitivity of Divisibility*
	- *Divisibility by a Prime*
- Counterexamples and Divisibility
- The Unique Factorization Theorem

## Divisibility by a Prime

Any integer  $n > 1$  is divisible by a prime number.

- **■** Suppose  $n$  is a particular but arbitrarily chosen integer that is greater than 1.
- $\blacksquare$  We must show that there is a prime number that divides  $n$ .
- **■** If  $n$  is prime, then  $n$  is divisible by a prime number (namely itself), and we are done.

Any integer  $n > 1$  is divisible by a prime number.

 $\blacksquare$  If *n* is not prime, then,

 $n = r_0 s_0$  where  $r_0$  and  $s_0$  are integers and  $1 < r_0 < n$  and  $1 < s_0 < n$ . It follows by definition of divisibility that  $r_0|n$ .

**■** If  $r_0$  is prime, then  $r_0$  is a prime number that divides n, and we are done.

Any integer  $n > 1$  is divisible by a prime number.

 $\blacksquare$  If  $r_0$  is not prime, then

 $r_0 = r_1 s_1$  where  $r_1$  and  $s_1$  are integers and  $1 < r_1 < r_0$  and  $1 < s_1 < r_0$ . It follows by definition of divisibility that  $r_1|r_0$ .

- But we already know that  $r_0|n$ . Consequently, by transitivity of divisibility,  $r_1|n$ .
- **If**  $r_1$  is prime, then  $r_1$  is a prime number that divides n, and we are done.

Any integer  $n > 1$  is divisible by a prime number.

 $\blacksquare$  If  $r_1$  is not prime, then

 $r_1 = r_2 s_2$  where  $r_2$  and  $s_2$  are integers and  $1 < r_2 < r_1$  and  $1 < s_2 < r_1$ . It follows by definition of divisibility that  $r_2|r_1$ .

- But we already know that  $r_1|n$ . Consequently, by transitivity of divisibility,  $r_2|n$ .
- **■** If  $r_2$  is prime, then  $r_2$  is a prime number that divides n, and we are done.

Any integer  $n > 1$  is divisible by a prime number.

- **■** If  $r_2$  is not prime, then we may repeat the previous process by factoring  $r_2$  as  $r_3s_3$ .
- $\blacksquare$  We may continue in this way, factoring successive factors of  $n$  until we find a prime factor. We must succeed in a finite number of steps because each new factor is both less than the previous one (which is less than  $n$ ) and greater than 1, and there are fewer than  $n$  integers strictly between 1 and  $n$ .

Any integer  $n > 1$  is divisible by a prime number.

■ Thus, we obtain a sequence

 $r_0, r_1, r_2, ..., r_k$ 

■ where  $k \geq 0, 1 \leq r_k \leq r k_{-1} \leq \cdots \leq r_2 \leq r_1 \leq r_0 \leq n$ , and  $r_i | n$  for each

 $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, k$ . The condition for termination is that  $r_k$  should be prime.

- Hence  $r_k$  is a prime number that divides  $n$ .
- And this is what we were to show.

- Introduction
- Proving Properties of Divisibility
	- *Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers*
	- *Divisors of 1*
	- *Transitivity of Divisibility*
	- *Divisibility by a Prime*
- Counterexamples and Divisibility
- The Unique Factorization Theorem

### Counterexamples and Divisibility

■ Is the following statement true or false?

For all integers a and b, if  $a | b$  and  $b | a$  then  $a = b$ .

■ Counterexample: Let  $a = 2$  and  $b = -2$ . Then

 $a|b$  since 2| (−2)

and

 $b|a$  since  $(-2)|2$ ,

but  $a \neq b$  since  $2 \neq -2$ . Therefore, the statement is false.

- Introduction
- Proving Properties of Divisibility
	- *Positive Divisors of Positive Numbers*
	- *Divisors of 1*
	- *Transitivity of Divisibility*
	- *Divisibility by a Prime*
- Counterexamples and Divisibility
- The Unique Factorization Theorem

# The Unique Factorization of Integers Theorem

- This theorem is also called the *fundamental theorem of arithmetic.*
- $\blacksquare$  The unique factorization of integers theorem says that any integer greater than 1 either is prime or can be written as a product of prime numbers in a way that is unique except, perhaps, for the order in which the primes are written.

#### ■ For example,

 $72 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$ 

and so forth.

■ The three 2's and two 3's may be written in any order, but any factorization of 72 as a product of primes must contain exactly three 2's and two 3's.

# The Unique Factorization of Integers Theorem

**■** Given any integer  $n > 1$ , there exist a positive integer k, distinct prime numbers  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$ , and positive integers  $e_1, e_2, ..., ek$  such that

$$
n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3} \dots p_k^{e_k}
$$

**And any other expression for** n as a product of prime numbers is identical to this except, perhaps, for the order in which the factors are written.

#### Standard Factored Form

■ Given any integer  $n > 1$ , the **standard factored form** of *n* is an expression of the form

$$
n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3} \dots p_k^{e_k}
$$

where k is a positive integer;  $p_1, p_2, ..., pk$  are prime numbers;  $e_1, e_2, ..., e_k$  are

positive integers; and  $p_1 < p_2 < ... < p_k$ .

# Writing Integers in Standard Factored Form

■ Write 3,300 in standard factored form.

First find all the factors of 3,300. Then write them in ascending order:

$$
3,300 = 100 \cdot 33
$$
  
= 4 \cdot 25 \cdot 3 \cdot 11  
= 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 11  
= 2<sup>2</sup> \cdot 3<sup>1</sup> \cdot 5<sup>2</sup> \cdot 11<sup>1</sup>

# Using Unique Factorization to Solve a Problem

**■** Suppose  $m$  is an integer such that  $8 \cdot 7 \cdot 6 \cdot 5 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot m = 17 \cdot 16 \cdot 15 \cdot 14 \cdot 13 \cdot 12 \cdot 11 \cdot 10$ 

Does  $17|m?$ 

- Since 17 is one of the prime factors of the right-hand side of the equation, it is also a prime factor of the left-hand side (by the unique factorization of integers theorem).
- But 17 does not equal any prime factor of 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, or 2 (because it is too large).
- Hence 17 must occur as one of the prime factors of m, and so  $17|m$ .