



#### FACULTY OF ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

#### COMPUTER SCIENCE DEPARTMENT

COMP233 Discrete Mathematics

### CHAPTER 4

Elementary Number Theory and Methods of Proof

#### Number Theory

- Direct Proof and Counterexamples
- Rational Numbers
- Divisibility
- Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

#### Number Theory

- Direct Proof and Counterexamples
- Rational Numbers
- Divisibility
- Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

#### Introduction

■ When you divide 11 by 4, you get a quotient of 2 and a remainder of 3.

$$4 \boxed{\frac{2}{11}} \leftarrow \text{quotient}$$

$$\frac{\frac{8}{3}}{3} \leftarrow \text{remainder}$$

■ Another way to say this is that 11 equals 2 groups of 4 with 3 left over:



## Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

- The Quotient-Remainder Theorem
- div and mod
- Representation of Integers
- Division into Cases
- Absolute Value and the Triangle Inequality

## Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

#### ■ The Quotient-Remainder Theorem

- *div* and *mod*
- Representation of Integers
- Division into Cases
- Absolute Value and the Triangle Inequality

#### The Quotient-Remainder Theorem

Given any integer n and positive integer d, there exist unique integers q and r such that

n = dq + r and  $0 \le r < d$ .

If n is positive, the quotient-remainder theorem can be illustrated on the number line as follows:



#### Examples

- For each of the following values of n and d, find integers q and r such that n = dq + r and  $0 \le r < d$ .
- 1. n = 54, d = 4  $54 = 4 \cdot 13 + 2$ ; hence q = 13 and r = 2. 2. n = -54, d = 4  $-54 = 4 \cdot (-14) + 2$ ; hence q = -14 and r = 2. 3. n = 54, d = 70 $54 = 70 \cdot 0 + 54$ ; hence q = 0 and r = 54.

## Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

- The Quotient-Remainder Theorem
- div and mod
- Representation of Integers
- Division into Cases
- Absolute Value and the Triangle Inequality

#### div and mod

- Given an integer n and a positive integer d,
  - $n \operatorname{div} d$  = the integer quotient obtained when n is divided by d, and
  - $n \mod d$  = the nonnegative integer remainder obtained when n is divided by d.
- Symbolically, if *n* and *d* are integers and d > 0, then  $n \operatorname{div} d = q$  and  $n \operatorname{mod} d = r \leftrightarrow n = dq + r$

where q and r are integers and  $0 \le r < d$ .

#### div and mod

■  $n \mod d$  equals one of the integers from 0 through d - 1 (since the remainder of the division of n by d must be one of these integers).

A necessary and sufficient condition for an integer n to be divisible by an integer d is that  $n \mod d = 0$ .

#### Calculating *div* and *mod*

■ You can also use a calculator to compute values of *div* and *mod*:

- To compute n div d for a nonnegative integer n and a positive integer d, you just divide n by d and ignore the part of the answer to the right of the decimal point.
- To find *n* mod *d*, you can use the fact that

if 
$$n = dq + r$$
, then  $r = n - dq$ 

Thus

$$n = d \cdot (n \operatorname{div} d) + n \operatorname{mod} d$$

and so

$$n \mod d = n - d \cdot (n \dim d)$$

#### Computing *div* and *mod*

- Compute 32 *div* 9 and 32 *mod* 9 by hand.
- Performing the division by hand gives the following results:

$$\begin{array}{r} 3 \leftarrow 32 \ div \ 9 \\ 9 \boxed{32} \\ 27 \\ \hline 5 \leftarrow 32 \ mod \ 9 \end{array}$$

#### Computing *div* and *mod* – cont.

■ Compute 32 *div* 9 and 32 *mod* 9 with a calculator.

- If you use a four-function calculator to divide 32 by 9, you obtain an expression like 3.55555556.
- Discarding the fractional part gives 32 div 9 = 3.
- And so,

$$32 \mod 9 = 32 - 9 \cdot (32 \dim 9) = 32 - 27 = 5$$

#### Computing the Day of the Week

- Suppose today is Tuesday, and neither this year nor next year is a leap year. What day of the week will it be 1 year from today?
- There are 365 days in a year that is not a leap year, and each week has 7 days.
- 365 *div* 7 = 52 and 365 *mod* 7 = 1
- Thus 52 weeks, or 364 days, from today will be a Tuesday
- 365 days from today will be 1 day later, namely Wednesday.

#### Solving a Problem about *mod*

- Suppose *m* is an integer. If  $m \mod 11 = 6$ , what is  $4m \mod 11$ ?
- Because  $m \mod 11 = 6$ , the remainder obtained when m is divided by 11 is 6.
- This means that there is some integer q so that

m = 11q + 6

• Thus 4m = 44q + 24

= 44q + 22 + 2

= 11(4q + 2) + 2

Since 4q + 2 is an integer (because products and sums of integers are integers) and since 2 < 11, the remainder obtained when 4m is divided by 11 is 2. Therefore,

$$4m \mod 11 = 2$$

## Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

- The Quotient-Remainder Theorem
- *div* and *mod*
- Representation of Integers
- Division into Cases
- Absolute Value and the Triangle Inequality

#### **Representations of Integers**

Any integer must be either odd or even

We have previously stated that this statement is true. However, at the time when we learned how to represent even and odd integers, we did not know enough to prove it.

■ Now we do.

#### **Representations of Integers**

- Let n be any integer, and consider what happens when n is divided by 2.
- By the quotient-remainder theorem (with d = 2), there exist unique integers q and r such that

$$n = 2q + r$$
 and  $0 \le r < 2$ 

- But the only integers that satisfy  $0 \le r < 2$  are r = 0 and r = 1.
- It follows that given any integer n, there exists an integer q with

$$n = 2q + 0$$
 or  $n = 2q + 1$ .

- In the case that n = 2q + 0 = 2q, n is even. In the case that n = 2q + 1, n is odd.
- Hence n is either even or odd, and, because of the uniqueness of q and r, n cannot be both even and odd.

#### Parity

■ The **parity** of an integer is its attribute of being even or odd.

- Thus, it can be said that 6 and 14 have the same parity, since both are even.
- And 7 and 15 have the same parity, since both are odd.
- Whereas 7 and 14 have opposite parity, since 7 is odd and 14 is even.
- The **parity property** is the fact that an integer is either even or odd.

Prove that given any two consecutive integers, one is even, and the other is odd.

- Suppose that two particular but arbitrarily chosen consecutive integers are given; call them m and m + 1.
- We must show that one of m and m + 1 is even and that the other is odd.
- By the parity property, either m is even, or m is odd. We break the proof into two cases depending on whether m is even or odd.

Prove that given any two consecutive integers, one is even, and the other is odd.

• Case 1: m is even:

In this case, m = 2k for some integer k,

and so, m + 1 = 2k + 1, which is odd by definition of odd.

Hence in this case, one of m and m + 1 is even and the other is odd.

Prove that given any two consecutive integers, one is even, and the other is odd.

• Case 2: m is odd:

In this case, m = 2k + 1 for some integer k,

and so, m + 1 = (2k + 1) + 1 = 2k + 2 = 2(k + 1).

But k + 1 is an integer because it is a sum of two integers.

Therefore, m + 1 equals twice some integer, and thus m + 1 is even.

Hence in this case also, one of m and m + 1 is even and the other is odd.

Prove that given any two consecutive integers, one is even, and the other is odd.

- It follows that regardless of which case actually occurs for the particular m and m + 1 that are chosen, one of m and m + 1 is even and the other is odd.
- And this is what was to be shown.

## Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

- The Quotient-Remainder Theorem
- *div* and *mod*
- Representation of Integers
- Division into Cases
- Absolute Value and the Triangle Inequality

#### Proof by Division into Cases

- The division into cases in a proof is like the transfer of control for an if-then-else statement in a computer program.
- If m is even, control transfers to case 1; if not, control transfers to case 2.
- For any given integer, only one of the cases will apply.
- You must consider both cases, however, to obtain a proof that is valid for an arbitrarily given integer whether even or not.
- There are times when division into more than two cases is called for.

#### Method of Proof by Division into Cases

- Suppose that at some stage of developing a proof, you know that a statement of the form  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  or  $A_3$  or ... or  $A_n$  is true, and suppose you want to deduce a conclusion *C*.
- To prove a statement of the form "If  $A_1$  or  $A_2$  or  $A_3$  or ... or  $A_n$ , then C," prove all of the following: If  $A_1$ , then C, If  $A_2$ , then C,
  - If  $A_n$ , then C.

...

• This process shows that C is true regardless of which of  $A_1, A_2, \dots, An$  happens to be the case.

#### **Representations of Integers Modulo 4**

■ Show that any integer can be written in one of the four forms

n = 4q or n = 4q + 1 or n = 4q + 2 or n = 4q + 3

for some integer q.

- Given any integer n, apply the quotient-remainder theorem to n with d = 4.
- This implies that there exist an integer quotient q and a remainder r such that

n = 4q + r and  $0 \le r < 4$ .

■ But the only nonnegative remainders *r* that are less than 4 are 0, 1, 2, and 3.

Hence

$$n = 4q$$
 or  $n = 4q + 1$  or  $n = 4q + 2$  or  $n = 4q + 3$ 

for some integer q.

The square of any odd integer has the form 8m + 1 for some integer m.

- Suppose n is a particular but arbitrarily chosen odd integer.
- By the quotient-remainder theorem, n can be written in one of the forms

$$n = 4q$$
 or  $n = 4q + 1$  or  $n = 4q + 2$  or  $n = 4q + 3$ 

for some integer q.

Since *n* is odd and 4q and 4q + 2 are even, *n* must have one of the forms

$$4q + 1 \text{ or } 4q + 3$$

for some integer q.

The square of any odd integer has the form 8m + 1 for some integer m.

• Case 1 (n = 4q + 1 for some integer q):

Since n = 4q + 1,  $n^2 = (4q + 1)2$  by substitution = (4q + 1)(4q + 1) by definition of square  $= 16q^2 + 8q + 1$  $= 8(2q^2 + q) + 1$  by the laws of algebra

Let  $m = 2q^2 + q$ . Then *m* is an integer since the sums and products of integers are integers. Thus, substituting,

 $n^2 = 8m + 1$  where m is an integer.

The square of any odd integer has the form 8m + 1 for some integer m.

• Case 2 (n = 4q + 3 for some integer q):

Since n = 4q + 3,  $n^2 = (4q + 3)^2$  by substitution = (4q + 3)(4q + 3) by definition of square  $= 16q^2 + 24q + 9$   $= 16q^2 + 24q + (8 + 1)$  $= 8(2q^2 + 3q + 1) + 1$  by the laws of algebra

Let  $m = 2q^2 + 3q + 1$ . Then m is an integer since the sums and products of integers are integers. Thus, substituting,

 $n^2 = 8m + 1$  where m is an integer.

The square of any odd integer has the form 8m + 1 for some integer m.

• Cases 1 and 2 show that given any odd integer, whether of the form

4q + 1 or 4q + 3,

 $n^2 = 8m + 1$  for some integer m.

And this is what we needed to show.

## Division into Cases and the Quotient-Remainder Theorem

- The Quotient-Remainder Theorem
- *div* and *mod*
- Representation of Integers
- Division into Cases
- Absolute Value and the Triangle Inequality

#### Absolute Value and the Triangle Inequality

For any real number x, the absolute value of x, denoted |x|, is defined as follows:

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

- The triangle inequality says that the absolute value of the sum of two numbers is less than or equal to the sum of their absolute values.
- To prove the triangle inequality, we need to prove two lemmas first.

#### Lemmas?

- A lemma is a statement that does not have much intrinsic interest but is helpful in deriving other results.
- We will prove the following two lemmas:

Lemma 1: For all real numbers  $r, -|r| \le r \le |r|$ 

Lemma 2: For all real numbers r, |-r| = |r|

# Lemma 1: For all real numbers $r, -|r| \le r \le |r|$

Suppose r is any real number. We divide into cases according to whether  $r \ge 0$  or r < 0.

• Case 1 ( $r \ge 0$ ):

In this case, by definition of absolute value, |r| = r.

Also, since r is positive and -|r| is negative, -|r| < r.

Thus it is true that  $-|r| \leq r \leq |r|$ .

#### Lemma 1: For all real numbers r, $-|r| \leq r \leq |r|$

• Case 2 (r < 0):

In this case, by definition of absolute value, |r| = -r. Multiplying both sides by -1 gives that -|r| = r. Also, since r is negative and |r| is positive, r < |r|. Thus it is also true in this case that  $-|r| \le r \le |r|$ .

Hence, in either case,

$$-|r| \leq r \leq |r|$$

■ as was to be shown.

# Lemma 2: For all real numbers r, |-r| = |r|

Suppose r is any real number. if r > 0, then -r < 0, and if r < 0, then -r > 0.



$$|-r| = \begin{cases} -r & if -r > 0\\ 0 & if r = 0\\ -(-r) & if -r < 0 \end{cases}$$

by definition of absolute value

### Lemma 2: For all real numbers r, -r| = |r|

• Suppose r is any real number. if r > 0, then -r < 0, and if r < 0, then -r > 0.

Thus,

$$|-r| = \begin{cases} -r & if - r > 0\\ 0 & if r = 0\\ r & if - r < 0 \end{cases}$$

because 
$$-(-r) = r$$

# Lemma 2: For all real numbers r, |-r| = |r|

• Suppose r is any real number. if r > 0, then -r < 0, and if r < 0, then -r > 0.

■ Thus,

$$|-r| = \begin{cases} -r & if \ r < 0 \\ 0 & if \ r = 0 \\ r & if \ -r < 0 \end{cases}$$

because when -r > 0, r < 0

### Lemma 2: For all real numbers r, -r| = |r|

• Suppose r is any real number. if r > 0, then -r < 0, and if r < 0, then -r > 0.

■ Thus,

$$|-r| = \begin{cases} -r & if \ r < 0\\ 0 & if \ r = 0\\ r & ifr > 0 \end{cases}$$

because when -r < 0, r > 0

### Lemma 2: For all real numbers r, -r| = |r|

Suppose r is any real number. if r > 0, then -r < 0, and if r < 0, then -r > 0.

■ Thus,

$$|-r| = \begin{cases} r & if \ r \ge 0\\ -r & if \ r < 0 \end{cases}$$

by reformatting the previous result

= |r|

by definition of absolute value

#### The Triangle Inequality

For all real numbers x and y,  $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ .

• Suppose x and y, are any real numbers.

• Case 1  $(x + y \ge 0)$ : In this case, |x + y| = x + y

By the first lemma,  $x \leq |x|$  and  $y \leq |y|$ 

Hence,  $|x + y| = x + y \le |x| + |y|$ 

#### The Triangle Inequality

For all real numbers x and y,  $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ .

Suppose x and y, are any real numbers.

• Case 2 (x + y < 0): In this case, |x + y| = -(x + y) = (-x) + (-y)By the first and second lemmas,  $-x \le |-x| = |x|$  and  $-y \le |-y| = |y|$ It follows that  $|x + y| = (-x) + (-y) \le |x| + |y|$ 

■ Hence in both cases  $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ , as was to be shown.