

Faculty of Engineering & Technology Electrical & Computer Engineering Department Applied Cryptography ENCS4320 Hash Length Extension Attack Lab

Prepared by:

Hasan Hamed 1190496

Shorooq Ngjar 1192415

Instructor: Dr. Ahmad Alsadeh

Section: 1

Date: 4/9/2022

1. Abstract

This lab aims to learn how to verify the integrity of the request using MAC, how to generate the MAC by using a one-way hash and the key and learn the Hash Length Extension attack which allows attackers to modify the message while still being able to generate a valid MAC based on the modified message, without knowing the secret key, and to learn the importance of padding in these kinds of attacks, and finally to learn how to mitigate this attack using HMAC (a keyed hash message authentication code.

Table of Contents

1. AbstractI
2. Theory
2.1. Introduction
2.2. Padding2
2.3. Hash Length Extension Attack
2.4. HMAC5
3. Procedure and Results6
3.1. Lab Environment6
3.2. Task 1: Send Request to List Files8
3.3. Task 2: Create Padding12
3.4. Task 3: The Length Extension Attack15
3.5. Task 4: Attack Mitigation using HMAC18
4. Conclusion27
5. References

Table of Figures

Figure 2.1: Hash Functions	1
Figure 2.2: SHA-256 hash values of two texts that differ by one character	2
Figure 3.1: Adding Entry to /etc/hosts file	6
Figure 3.2: Building Container Image	7
Figure 3.3: Starting The Container	8
Figure 3.4: Calculating MAC for Listing Directories	9
Figure 3.5: Server Response for Listing Directories	10
Figure 3.6: Calculating MAC for Download	11
Figure 3.7: Server Response for Downloading	12
Figure 3.8: SHA256 Padding Python Code	13
Figure 3.9: Shell Script to change /x to %	14
Figure 3.10: Padding Output	15
Figure 3.11: Generating MAC, Padding and Generating New MAC	16
Figure 3.12: Generating New Mac Code	16
Figure 3.13: Hash Length Extension Attack Result	17
Figure 3.14: Changing lab.py Function	18
Figure 3.15: Editing Message in Hmac.py Code	19
Figure 3.16: Generating a MAC for the Message Using HMAC	19
Figure 3.17: Server Response for HMAC Request	20
Figure 3.18: Editing HMAC Code for the New Request	21
Figure 3.19: Obtaining the New Request MAC	21
Figure 3.20: New Request Response	22
Figure 3.21: Generating SHA256 MAC	23
Figure 3.22: SHA256 Response Due to HMAC	24
Figure 3.23: Obtaining MAC and Padding	25
Figure 3.24: Hash Length Extension Attack Failed	26

2. Theory

2.1. Introduction

SHA-256, which stands for secure hash algorithm 256, is a cryptographic hashing algorithm (or function) that's used for message, file, and data integrity verification. It's part of the SHA-2 family of hash functions and uses a 256-bit key to take a piece of data and convert it into a new, unrecognizable data string of a fixed length. This string of random characters and numbers, called a hash value, is also 256 bits in size.



Figure 2.1: Hash Functions

Let's consider the following example. Say you write the message "Good morning" and apply a SHA-256 hash function to it. It will look like this:

90a90a48e23dcc51ad4a821a301e3440ffeb5e986bd69d7bf347a2ba2da23bd3, Now, say you decide to do the same with a similar message, "Good morning!" It will result in an entirely different string of hexadecimal characters of the same length.

The following graphic shows the SHA-256 hash values of two texts that differ by one character:



Figure 2.2: SHA-256 hash values of two texts that differ by one character

SHA 256 ensures data integrity so that both parties can be sure that the communication is actually from the person they think it is. The recipient device creates a hash of the original message and compares it to the hash value sent by the sender. If both hash values are equal, the message has not been tampered with during transit.[1]

2.2. Padding

"\x00\x00\x00"

It should be noted that the length field uses the Big-Endian byte order, i.e., if the length of the message is 0x012345, the length field in the padding should be: "x00x00x00x00x00x00x01x23x45"

It should be noted that in the URL, all the hexadecimal numbers in the padding need to be encoded by changing x to %. For example, x80 in the padding should be replaced with %80 in the URL above. On the server side, encoded data in the URL will be changed back to the binary numbers. See the following example: "x80x00x00x99" should be encoded as "%80%00%00%99".[2]

2.3. Hash Length Extension Attack

In cryptography and computer security, a length extension attack is a type of attack where an attacker can use Hash(message1) and the length of message1 to calculate Hash (message1 || message2) for an attackercontrolled message2, without needing to know the content of message1. Algorithms like MD5, SHA-1 and most of SHA-2 that are based on the Merkle–Damgård construction are susceptible to this kind of attack. Truncated versions of SHA-2, including SHA-384 and SHA-512/256 are not susceptible, nor is the SHA-3 algorithm.

When a Merkle–Damgård based hash is misused as a message authentication code with construction $H(\text{secret} \mid \text{message})$, and message and the length of secret is known, a length extension attack allows anyone to include extra information at the end of the message and produce a valid hash without knowing the secret. Since HMAC does not use this construction, HMAC hashes are not prone to length extension attacks.

A server for delivering waffles of a specified type to a specific user at a location could be implemented to handle requests of the given format:

```
Original Data: count=10&lat=37.351&user_id=1&long=-119.827&waffle=eggo
Original Signature: 6d5f807e23db210bc254a28be2d6759a0f5f5d99
```

The server would perform the request given (to deliver ten waffles of type eggo to the given location for user "1") only if the signature is valid for the user. The signature used here is a MAC, signed with a key not known to the attacker. (This example is also vulnerable to a replay attack, by sending the same request and signature a second time.)

It is possible for an attacker to modify the request, in this example switching the requested waffle from "eggo" to "liege." This can be done by taking advantage of a flexibility in the message format if duplicate content in the query string gives preference to the latter value. This flexibility does not indicate an exploit in the message format, because the message format was never designed to be cryptographically secure in the first place, without the signature algorithm to help it.

Desired New Data: count=10&lat=37.351&user_id=1&long=-119.827&waffle=eggo**&waffle=liege**

In order to sign this new message, typically the attacker would need to know the key the message was signed with, and generate a new signature by generating a new MAC. However, with a length extension attack, it is possible to feed the hash (the signature given above) into the state of the hashing function, and continue

where the original request had left off, so long as you know the length of the original request. In this request, the original key's length was 14 bytes, which could be determined by trying forged requests with various assumed lengths, and checking which length results in a request that the server accepts as valid In order to sign this new message, typically the attacker would need to know the key the message was signed with, and generate a new signature by generating a new MAC. However, with a length extension attack, it is possible to feed the hash (the signature given above) into the state of the hashing function, and continue where the original request had left off, so long as you know the length of the original request. In this request, the original key's length was 14 bytes, which could be determined by trying forged requests with various assumed lengths, and checking which length results in a request that the server accepts as valid.

The message as fed into the hashing function is often padded, as many algorithms can only work on input messages whose lengths are a multiple of some given size. The content of this padding is always specified by the hash function used. The attacker must include all of these padding bits in their forged message before the internal states of their message and the original will line up. Thus, the attacker constructs a slightly different message using these padding rules:

This message includes all of the padding that was appended to the original message inside of the hash function before their payload (in this case, a 0x80 followed by a number of 0x00s and a message length, 0x228 = 552 = (14+55)*8, which is the length of the key plus the original message, appended at the end). The attacker knows that the state behind the hashed key/message pair for the original message is identical to that of new message up to the final "&." The attacker also knows the hash digest at this point, which means he knows the internal state of the hashing function at that point. It is then trivial to initialize a hashing algorithm at that point, input the last few characters, and generate a new digest which can sign his new message without the original key.

New Signature: 0e41270260895979317fff3898ab85668953aaa2

By combining the new signature and new data into a new request, the server will see the forged request as a

valid request due to the signature being the same as it would have been generated if the password was known.[3]

2.4. HMAC

In cryptography, an HMAC (sometimes expanded as either keyed-hash message authentication code or hashbased message authentication code) is a specific type of message authentication code (MAC) involving a cryptographic hash function and a secret cryptographic key. As with any MAC, it may be used to simultaneously verify both the data integrity and authenticity of a message.

HMAC can provide authentication using a shared secret instead of using digital signatures with asymmetric cryptography. It trades off the need for a complex public key infrastructure by delegating the key exchange to the communicating parties, who are responsible for establishing and using a trusted channel to agree on the key prior to communication.[4]

3. Procedure and Results

3.1. Lab Environment

The domain <u>www.seedlab-hashlen.com</u> was used to host the server program. In the VM, this hostname was mapped to the web server container (10.9.0.80). by adding the following entry to the /etc/hosts file as shown in Figure 3.1.



Figure 3.1: Adding Entry to /etc/hosts file

Then the container image was build using the following command:

\$ docker-compose build

As shown in Figure 3.2.



Figure 3.2: Building Container Image

Then, the container was started using the following command:

\$ docker-compose up -d

As shown in Figure 3.3, since it's already up it shown that it is up to date.



Figure 3.3: Starting The Container

3.2. Task 1: Send Request to List Files

The request will be sent as follows:

http://www.seedlab-hashlen.com/?myname=<name>&uid=<need-to-fill-> &lstcmd=1&mac=<need-to-

<mark>calculate></mark>

uid 1001 was used and its key 123456 and name Shorooq Ngjar.

The MAC will be calculated using the following command:

\$ echo -n "123456:myname=ShorooqNgjar&uid=1001&lstcmd=1" | sha256sum

As shown in Figure 3.4.

🛃 ubuntu	[Running] - Oracle VM VirtualBox					_								σ	×
File Ma	hine View Input Devices Help														
Activitie	s 🗈 Terminal						Sep 3 03	43 D						÷ •	+0
						h	asan@hasan-Vir	tualBox: ~							×
• (@)	hasan@hasan-VirtualBox:-\$ echo -	n "123456:myna	me=ShorooqNg	jar&uid=10	01&lstcmd=1'	sha256sum							A		_ 1
9	98b0e6d42e81d0a883e5340c1017d0cd hasan@hasan-VirtualBox:-\$	54e1bbb7b03b79	ef125f6b4eee	9eba37 -											
0															
Â															
?															
· ~-															
0															
	0	A A +	0 H	-			0 0						32	13 AM	ight Ctrl
4	V Iype here to search		0 At	C	n 🖪		U C	y		73°F Pa	artly cloudy 🗸	^ Ç & ₩ <i>[ii</i>	Q× ENG 9/3	/2022	0

Figure 3.4: Calculating MAC for Listing Directories

The request was constructed as shown below:

http://www.seedlab-

hashlen.com/?myname=ShorooqNgjar&uid=1001&lstcmd=1&mac=98b0e6d42e81d0a883e5340c1017d0c

d54e1bbb7b03b79ef125f6b4eee9eba37

The request was sent to the server using Firefox and the response is shown in Figure 3.5.



Figure 3.5: Server Response for Listing Directories

Now, sedning a download command to the server.

First the MAC was obtained using the following command:

\$ echo -n "123456:myname=HasanHamed&uid=1001&lstcmd=0&download=secret.txt" | sha256sum

As shown in Figure 3.6.



Figure 3.6: Calculating MAC for Download

The request was constructed as shown below:

http://www.seedlab-hashlen.com/?myname=HasanHamed&uid=1001&lstcmd=1&download=secret.txt &mac=c5df06a22cf02eca66544a88374e74fa83a387d56c54a769729da2655988cb1d

The request was sent to the server using Firefox and the response is shown in Figure 3.7.



Figure 3.7: Server Response for Downloading

As shown in both requests since both MAC's for both requests were valid, the server responded and sent the request response which was a list request in the first part and a download request in the second one.

3.3. Task 2: Create Padding

A python program was created for padding messages according to the algorithm:

paddings for SHA256 consist of one byte of x80, followed by a many 0's, followed by a 64-bit (8 bytes) length field (the length is the number of bits in the M). as shown in Figure 3.8.



Figure 3.8: SHA256 Padding Python Code

It should be noted that in the URL, all the hexadecimal numbers in the padding need to be encoded by changing x to %. And because of that a shell script was created to change them as shown in Figure 3.9.



Using both codes, the padding for the message was created:

123456:myname=Hasan&uid=1001&lstcmd=1

As shown in Figure 3.10.



Figure 3.10: Padding Output

3.4. Task 3: The Length Extension Attack

In this task, a valid MAC for a URL will be generated without knowing the MAC key. Assume that the MAC of a valid request R is known, and the size of the MAC key is also known. The job is to forge a new request based on R, while still being able to compute the valid MAC.

First a valid MAC for the following request will be generated (where and the MAC key should be obtained from the LabHome/key.txt file):

http://www.seedlab-hashlen.com/?myname=<name>&uid=<uid>&lstcmd=1&mac=<mac>

First of all, the MAC will be generated for the following message:

123456:myname=Hasan&uid=1001&lstcmd=1

As shown in Orange Figure 3.11.

Then, a padding for the same message was created as shown in yellow in Figure 3.11.



Figure 3.11: Generating MAC, Padding and Generating New MAC

Then, a new MAC for the new message was generated using the original MAC as shown in Figure 3.12 using the given C code in the manual.



Then, the C code was excuted as shown in Green by returning to Figure 3.11.

Finally, a new request was created with the following format:

http://www.seedlab-

hashlen.com/?myname=<name>&uid=<uid>&lstcmd=1<padding>&download=secret.txt&mac=<newmac>

Since name, uid, padding and the new MAC were obtained, a new request will be created as follow:

http://www.seedlab-

The request response was got as shown in Figure 3.13.



Figure 3.13: Hash Length Extension Attack Result

As shown in Figure 3.13, a valid MAC for a URL was generated without knowing the MAC key. Knowing the MAC of a valid request R and the size of the MAC key and a new request based on R was forged while still being able to compute a valid MAC.

3.5. Task 4: Attack Mitigation using HMAC

In the tasks so far, it was observed the damage caused when a developer computes a MAC in an insecure way by concatenating the key and the message. In this task, the mistake made by the developer will be fixed. The standard way to calculate MACs is to use HMAC. The server program's verify mac() function should be modified and Python's hmac module will be used to calculate the MAC. The function resides in lab.py. Given a key and message (both of type string), the HMAC can be computed as shown below:

real_mac = hmac.new(bytearray(key.encode('utf-8')), msg=message.encode('utf-8', 'surrogateescape'), digestmod=hashlib.sha256).hexdigest()

The function was changed in the file lab.py as shown in Figure 3.14.



Figure 3.14: Changing lab.py Function

After making the changes, all the containers were stopped using docker-compose down command and then were rebuilt using docker-compose build and all the containers were started again using docker-compose up -d by following the steps in section 3.1. after that the changes took places.

Then, a MAC was generated for the following message:

myname=Hasan&uid=1001&lstcmd=1

By editing the message in the Hmac.py code shown in Figure 3.15.







Figure 3.17: Server Response for HMAC Request

Then, a MAC for a request for download was generated as shown in Figure 3.18, the code was first edited.



Then a request was constructed as shown below:

http://www.seedlab-

hashlen.com/?myname=Hasan&uid=1001&1stcmd=1&download=secret.txt&mac=63eacb7c8a8825a33ec9 e14b08382cfc58d5087371c74c38516d21bd88a801d3

And the response after sending the request to the server was got as shown in Figure 3.20.



Figure 3.20: New Request Response

Now a new request using SHA256 will be sent.

First the MAC will be generated as shown in Figure 3.21.

🕶 ubuntu (Running) - Oracla VM VictualRov		- 8 V								
File Machine View Input Devices Help										
Activities 🖸 Terminal	Sep 4 16:49 🗘									
. 🔥 😐	hasan@hasan-VirtualBox: ~/Desktop/Labsetup	Q = _ ø ×								
951bc737b371c17F34d16ec6b99ccf8dca070805fe1a3615454bb38561cf0aa Image: Constraint of the second se										
Type here to search	🗧 🖻 🕿 👂 🚺 💽 👻 🖉 🚨	*** 73°F Partly cloudy へ ② 🕲 🗈 🦟 如) ENG 4:49 PM 9/4/2022								
Figure 3.21: Generating SHA256 MAC										
Then, a new request was made as shown below:										
http://www.seedlab-										
hashlen.com/?myname=Hasan&uid=	1001&1stcmd=1&mac=951bc7871	03f1c17f54d16ec6b99ccf8dca0708								
05fe1a36154544bb38561cf0aa										

The request was sent using Firefox and the response is as shown in Figure 3.22.



Figure 3.22: SHA256 Response Due to HMAC

As shown in Figure 3.22, the server response tells that the MAC is not valid since HMAC is used. Now the Hash Length Extension attack will be tested. The original MAC and padding were obtained as

shown in Figure 3.23.



79d8adf4e19573e929d2658427b127c931a93a00a91661387ee3225ea6

The request was sent to the server using Firefox as shown in Figure 3.24.



Figure 3.24: Hash Length Extension Attack Failed

As shown in Figure 3.24 that Hash Length Extension attack was failed due to using HMAC since it's secure against those attack and checks the integrity.

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, we understand the MAC and how it give the integrity for messages, and we understand how to generate the MAC's using one way hash such as SHA256, and we understand how to launch Hash Extension attacks for SHA256 using padding and original MAC and a new MAC to generate a new request without knowing the key, and finally HMAC was understood and how it's stronger and more secure for those kind of attacks.

5. References

[1] https://sectigostore.com/blog/sha-256-algorithm-explained-by-a-cyber-security-consultant/

Accessed 4 September 2022

[2] Hash Length Extension Attack Lab PDF by SEED Labs

Accessed 4 September 2022

[3] <u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Length_extension_attack</u>

Accessed 4 September 2022

[4] https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/HMAC

Accessed 4 September 2022