Lecture Notes on **Discrete Mathematics**. Birzeit University, Palestine, 2015

1

Number Theory and Proof Methods

Mustafa Jarrar

4.1 Introduction

4.2 Rational Numbers

4.3 Divisibility

,

4.4 Quotient-Remainder Theorem

Watch this lecture and download the slides

<http://jarrar-courses.blogspot.com/2014/03/discrete-mathematics-course.html>

More Lectures Courses at:<http://www.jarrar.info>

Acknowledgement:

,

This lecture is based on, but not limited to, chapter 3 in "Discrete Mathematics with Applications by Susanna S. Epp (3rd Edition)".

Mustafa Jarrar: Lecture Notes on **Number Theory and Proofs**. Birzeit University, Palestine, 2015

4.3 Divisibility Number Theory

In this lecture:

,

Part 1: **What is Divisibility;**

QPart 2: Proving Properties of Divisibility;

QPart 3: The Unique Factorization Theorem

What is Divisibility?

• Definition

```
If n and d are integers and d \neq 0 then
          n is divisible by d if, and only if, n equals d times some integer.
Instead of "n is divisible by d," we can say that
            n is a multiple of d, or
            d is a factor of n, or
            d is a divisor of n, or
            d divides n.
The notation \mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{n} is read "d divides n." Symbolically, if n and d are integers and
```
 $d \neq 0$:

,

 $d \mid n \iff \exists$ an integer k such that $n = dk$.

If k is any integer, does k divide **0?** ✓ \sqrt{I} Is 21 divisible by 3? \sqrt{O} Does 5 divide 40? \sqrt{O} Does 7 | 42? \sqrt{I} Is 32 a multiple of -16 ? \sqrt{I} Is 6 a factor of 54? \sqrt{I} Is 7 a factor of -7 ? $\sqrt{\text{Does }7}$ | 42? $\sqrt{1}$ Is 7 a factor of -7?

Mustafa Jarrar: Lecture Notes on **Number Theory and Proofs**. Birzeit University, Palestine, 2015

4.3 Divisibility Number Theory

In this lecture:

,

OPart 1: What is Divisibility;

Part 2: Proving Properties of Divisibility;

QPart 3: The Unique Factorization Theorem

Positive Divisor of a Positive Integer

Theorem 4.3.1 A Positive Divisor of a Positive Integer

For all integers a and b, if a and b are positive and a divides b, then $a \leq b$.

Proof:

,

Divisibility of Algebraic Expressions

If *a* and *b* are integers, is $3a + 3b$ divisible by 3?

 $3a + 3b = 3(a + b)$ and $a + b$ is an integer because it is a sum of two integers.

If *k* **and** *m* **are integers, is** *l0km* **divisible by** *5?*

,

10k $m = 5 \cdot (2k \, m)$ and 2k m is an integer because it is a product of three integers.

Not divisible

 λ

For all integers *n* and *d*,
$$
d \nmid n \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{d}
$$
 is not an integer.

Prime Numbers and Divisibility

 λ

An alternative way to define a prime number is to say that:

an integer $n > 1$ is prime if, and only if, its only positive *integer divisors are 1 and itself.*

Transitivity of Divisibility

Theorem 4.3.3 Transitivity of Divisibility

For all integers a, b , and c , if a divides b and b divides c , then a divides c .

Proof:

 λ

Starting Point: Suppose *a*, *b*, and *c* are particular but arbitrarily chosen integers such that $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$.

We need to show: $a \mid c$ *.*

since $a \mid b$, $b = ar$ for some integer *r*. And since $b \mid c$, $c = bs$ for some integer *s*. Hence, $c = bs = (ar)s$ But $(ar)s = a(rs)$ by the associative law Hence $c = a(rs)$. As *rs* is an integer, then *a* | *c*.

Divisibility by a Prime

Theorem 4.3.4 Divisibility by a Prime

 λ

Any integer $n > 1$ is divisible by a prime number.

Counterexamples and Divisibility

Checking a Proposed Divisibility Property

,

Is it true or false that for **all integers** *a* **and** *b*, if *a* | *b* **and** $b|a$ **then** $a = b$?

Counterexample: Let $a = 2$ and $b = -2$. Then *a* | *b* since 2 | (-2) and *b* | *a* since (-2) | 2, but $a \neq b$ since $2 \neq -2$. Therefore, the proposed divisibility property is false.

Mustafa Jarrar: Lecture Notes on **Number Theory and Proofs**. Birzeit University, Palestine, 2015

4.3 Divisibility Number Theory

In this lecture:

,

OPart 1: What is Divisibility;

QPart 2: Proving Properties of Divisibility;

Part 3: **The Unique Factorization Theorem**

The Unique Factorization Theorem

By a German mathematician (Carl Friedrich Gauss) in 1801.

,

The Unique Factorization Theorem

أي رقم اكبر من واحد إما ان يكون عدد أولى او حاصل ضرب أعداد أولية

Any integer greater than 1 either is prime or can be written as a product of prime numbers in a way that is unique except,

 $72 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$

Theorem 4.3.5 Unique Factorization of Integers Theorem (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

,

Given any integer $n > 1$, there exist a positive integer k, distinct prime numbers p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k , and positive integers e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k such that

$$
n=p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}p_3^{e_3}\ldots p_k^{e_k},
$$

and any other expression for n as a product of prime numbers is identical to this except, perhaps, for the order in which the factors are written.

The Standard factored Form

• Definition

 λ

Given any integer $n > 1$, the **standard factored form** of *n* is an expression of the form

$$
n=p_1^{e_1}p_2^{e_2}p_3^{e_3}\cdots p_k^{e_k},
$$

where k is a positive integer; p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k are prime numbers; e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k are positive integers; and $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$.

Example: Write 3,300 in standard factored form.

$$
3,300 = 100.33
$$

= 4.25.3.11
= 2.2.5.5.3.11
= 2².3¹·5².11¹.

Using Unique Factorization to Solve a Problem

Suppose *m* is an integer such that

8 . 7 . 6 . 5 . 4 *. 3* . 2 . *m =* 17 . 16 . 15 . 14 . 13 . 12 . 11 . 10

Does 17 | *m?*

 λ

Solution:

Since 17 a prime in the left, it should be also in the right side. Since we cannot produce 17 form (8,7,6,5,4,3 or 2) it should be a prime factor of *m*