Lecture Notes on **Discrete Mathematics**. Birzeit University, Palestine, 2015

# **Number Theory** and Proof Methods

Mustafa Jarrar

**4.1 Introduction** 

**4.2 Rational Numbers** 

4.3 Divisibility

1

**4.4 Quotient-Remainder Theorem** 



## Watch this lecture and download the slides



http://jarrar-courses.blogspot.com/2014/03/discrete-mathematics-course.html

More Lectures Courses at: <u>http://www.jarrar.info</u>

#### Acknowledgement:

1

This lecture is based on, but not limited to, chapter 3 in "Discrete Mathematics with Applications by Susanna S. Epp (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition)".



Mustafa Jarrar: Lecture Notes on **Number Theory and Proofs**. Birzeit University, Palestine, 2015

# Number Theory4.3 Divisibility

### In this lecture:

,

Part 1: What is Divisibility;

Part 2: Proving Properties of Divisibility;

□ Part 3: The Unique Factorization Theorem

## What is Divisibility?

#### • **Definition**

```
If n and d are integers and d \neq 0 then
          n is divisible by d if, and only if, n equals d times some integer.
Instead of "n is divisible by d," we can say that
            n is a multiple of d, or
            d is a factor of n, or
            d is a divisor of n, or
            d divides n.
The notation \mathbf{d} \mid \mathbf{n} is read "d divides n." Symbolically, if n and d are integers and
d \neq 0:
```

 $d \mid n \Leftrightarrow \exists \text{ an integer } k \text{ such that } n = dk.$ 

 $\checkmark$  Is 21 divisible by 3?  $\checkmark$  Does 5 divide 40? ✓ Does 7 | 42? ✓ Is 7 a factor of -7? ✓ Is 32 a multiple of -16? ✓ Is 6 a factor of 54?  $\checkmark$  If k is any integer, does k divide **0**?



Mustafa Jarrar: Lecture Notes on **Number Theory and Proofs**. Birzeit University, Palestine, 2015

# Number Theory4.3 Divisibility

## In this lecture:

,

□ Part 1: What is Divisibility;

Part 2: Proving Properties of Divisibility;

□ Part 3: The Unique Factorization Theorem

## **Positive Divisor of a Positive Integer**

**Theorem 4.3.1 A Positive Divisor of a Positive Integer** 

For all integers a and b, if a and b are positive and a divides b, then  $a \le b$ .

**Proof:** 

1

|      | b = a.k                |                             |
|------|------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Thus | $1 \le k$              |                             |
|      | $a.1 \le k.a$          | multiply both sides with a. |
| Thus | $a \leq k \cdot a = b$ |                             |
| Thus | $a \leq b$             |                             |

## **Divisibility of Algebraic Expressions**

If *a* and *b* are integers, is 3a + 3b divisible by 3?

3a + 3b = 3(a + b) and a + b is an integer because it is a sum of two integers.

### If k and m are integers, is *l0km* divisible by 5?

1

10k m =  $5 \cdot (2k \text{ m})$  and 2k m is an integer because it is a product of three integers.

## Not divisible

,

For all integers *n* and *d*, 
$$d \not\mid n \Leftrightarrow \frac{n}{d}$$
 is not an integer.

## **Prime Numbers and Divisibility**

1

#### An alternative way to define a prime number is to say that:

an integer n > 1 is prime if, and only if, its only positive integer divisors are 1 and itself.

## **Transitivity of Divisibility**

#### **Theorem 4.3.3 Transitivity of Divisibility**

For all integers a, b, and c, if a divides b and b divides c, then a divides c.

**Proof:** 

1

*Starting Point:* Suppose *a*, *b*, and *c* are particular but arbitrarily chosen integers such that  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid c$ .

*We need to show: a* | *c*.

since  $a \mid b$ , b = ar for some integer r. And since  $b \mid c$ , c = bs for some integer s. Hence, c = bs = (ar)sBut (ar)s = a(rs) by the associative law Hence c = a(rs). As rs is an integer, then  $a \mid c$ .

## **Divisibility by a Prime**

**Theorem 4.3.4 Divisibility by a Prime** 

1

Any integer n > 1 is divisible by a prime number.

## **Counterexamples and Divisibility**

**Checking a Proposed Divisibility Property** 

1

Is it true or false that for all integers *a* and *b*, if  $a \mid b$  and  $b \mid a$  then a = b?

**Counterexample:** Let a = 2 and b = -2. Then  $a \mid b \text{ since } 2 \mid (-2) \text{ and } b \mid a \text{ since } (-2) \mid 2$ , but  $a \neq b \text{ since } 2 \neq -2$ . Therefore, the proposed divisibility property is false.



Mustafa Jarrar: Lecture Notes on **Number Theory and Proofs**. Birzeit University, Palestine, 2015

# Number Theory4.3 Divisibility

## In this lecture:

,

□ Part 1: What is Divisibility;

□ Part 2: Proving Properties of Divisibility;

Part 3: The Unique Factorization Theorem



## **The Unique Factorization Theorem**

By a German mathematician (Carl Friedrich Gauss) in 1801.

1



# **The Unique Factorization Theorem**

أي رقم اكبر من واحد إما ان يكون عدد أولى او حاصل ضرب أعداد أولية

Any integer greater than 1 either is prime or can be written as a product of prime numbers in a way that is unique except,

 $72 = 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 = 3 \cdot 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$ 

**Theorem 4.3.5 Unique Factorization of Integers Theorem** (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic)

Given any integer n > 1, there exist a positive integer k, distinct prime numbers  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$ , and positive integers  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k$  such that

$$n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3} \dots p_k^{e_k},$$

and any other expression for n as a product of prime numbers is identical to this except, perhaps, for the order in which the factors are written.

## **The Standard factored Form**

#### • Definition

1

Given any integer n > 1, the **standard factored form** of *n* is an expression of the form

$$n = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} p_3^{e_3} \cdots p_k^{e_k},$$

where k is a positive integer;  $p_1, p_2, ..., p_k$  are prime numbers;  $e_1, e_2, ..., e_k$  are positive integers; and  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_k$ .

**Example:** Write 3,300 in standard factored form.

$$3,300 = 100 \cdot 33$$
  
= 4 \cdot 25 \cdot 3 \cdot 11  
= 2 \cdot 2 \cdot 5 \cdot 5 \cdot 3 \cdot 11  
= 2<sup>2</sup> \cdot 3<sup>1</sup> \cdot 5<sup>2</sup> \cdot 11<sup>1</sup>.

#### **Using Unique Factorization to Solve a Problem**

Suppose *m* is an integer such that

8.7.6.5.4.*3*.2.*m* = 17.16.15.14.13.12.11.10

Does 17 | *m*?

1

#### **Solution:**

Since 17 a prime in the left, it should be also in the right side. Since we cannot produce 17 form (8,7,6,5,4,3 or 2) it should be a prime factor of *m*